SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL RECORD OF EXECUTIVE / CHIEF OFFICER DECISION

This form should be used to record key decisions made by Chief Officers and both key and other decisions made by individual Portfolio Holders.

Portfolio	Housing Portfolio Holder				
Subject Matter	Options Appraisal for Residual Airey Units				
Ward(s) Affected	Barton; Bassingbourn; Fulbourn; Gamlingay; Histon & Impington; Papworth				
	& Elsworth; Sawston; Teversham;				
Date Taken	Monday, 4 September 2006				
Contact Officer	Officer Christine Adlam, Special Projects Officer (01954 3332,				
	christine.adlam@scambs.gov.uk)				
	Steve Annetts, Housing Asset and Investment Manager (08450 450 500,				
	steve.annetts@scambs.gov.uk)				
	Denise Lewis, Head of Housing Strategic Services (01954 713351,				
	denise.lewis@scambs.gov.uk)				
Date Published	Wednesday, 13 September 2006				
Call-In Expiry	Wednesday, 20 September 2006				
Key Decision?	Yes: Is likely to be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or				
	working in an area of the District comprising two or more wards.				
In Forward Plan?	Yes				
Urgent?	No				

Purpose / Background

To consider the outcome of an options appraisal for the Airey properties not subject to a redevelopment scheme.

Declaration(s) of Interest

Record below any relevant interest declared by any executive Member consulted or by an officer present in relation to the decision.

None

Dispensation(s)

In respect of any conflict(s) of interest declared above, record below any dispensation(s) granted by the Council's Standards Committee.

None

Consultation

Record below all parties consulted in relation to the decision.

- Affected tenants
- SCDC Planning Officers
- Teversham Parish Council, and Local Members, Parish Councils and affected residents in the villages of Histon, Bassingbourn, Coton, Fulbourn, Sawston and Gamlingay on the available options
- The Tenant Participation Group

Other Options Considered and Reasons for Rejection

Option 1: Refurbishment and retention of the units as social rented housing.

Reason for Rejection: The total cost of refurbishment of the 29 units is in the region of £1.6m which provides no business case for pursuing an option of refurbishment and retention as 100% rented accommodation based on an average cost of £55.1k per unit. This conclusion is also supported by the decision of Circle Anglia not to take up the option of acquisition of the Airey homes adjoining sold properties on the redevelopment sites for refurbishment and re-letting as

affordable housing.

Option 2: Disposal of the units to a Registered Social Landlord (RSL).

Reasons for Rejection: It is likely that some of the properties would need to be designated for shared ownership and / or redeveloped to make this a financially viable option for an RSL.

Option 3: Disposal of the units on the open market.

Reasons for Rejection: Disposing of the Airey properties, other than to an RSL, results in the loss of affordable housing in the affected parishes.

Option 4: Disposal of the Airey properties to the existing tenants for a discount equal to the maximum available under the Right to Buy legislation regardless of their length of tenancy. The Council will meet its Decent Homes target without incurring significant expenditure and attract a capital receipt. This would also enable existing residents to remain in their existing homes if they wish to do so.

Reasons for Rejection: Existing tenants may not be able to raise the necessary funds to purchase their homes because they will not be mortgageable and / or they will not be able to fund the improvement works required. Disposing of the Airey properties, other than to an RSL, results in the loss of affordable housing in the affected parishes.

Option 5: Redevelopment

Final decision

Reasons for Rejection: Not viable due to the relatively small number of properties grouped together in some villages and their disparate geographic location. The proposed redevelopment scheme for Teversham has been unable to be progressed because of local concerns that the site is unsuitable for redevelopment given the narrow access road and surrounding development.

(a)	That, subject a pilot refurbi following Aire	shment prog		•
	Location	Number	Shared	Rented

Location	Number of Units	Shared Ownership	Rented
Teversham	8	3	5
Sawston	3	2	1
Elsworth	1	1	
Totals	12	6	6

- (b) That if the Capital Finance Regulations are not amended to allow for retention of 100% of the capital receipts from shared ownership sales then the properties should be offered for sale on the open market to provide a (lower) element of cross subsidy to keep costs incurred within budget.
- (c) If the shared ownership model proves successful then this should be rolled out as a programme across the remaining 17 Airey units at Bassingbourn, Fulbourn, Coton, Gamlingay and Impington, subject to local support.
- (d) If the shared ownership option is not successful and / or is not supported locally then the preferred option will be revisited depending on the outcome of a local consultation exercise in the other villages on the alternative options as outlined in this report. A

Reason(s)

Offers a potentially cost effective solution that meets both the Council's objectives and those of the affected local communities.

further report will then be brought forward for consideration at a future date.

Signed	Name (CAPITALS)	Signature	Date
Portfolio Holder	Signed copy available upon request from Democratic Services		
Chief Officer	(democratic.services@scambs.gov.uk)		

Further Information

Options Appraisal for Residual Airey Properties, report to 04/09/2006 Housing Portfolio Holder Meeting

Airey Decent Homes List 07/06/06